



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/573,615

03/06/2007

Walter Dennis Robertson III

35015/044US

9787

32827 7590 04/14/2008  
THE OLLILA LAW GROUP LLC  
2060 BROADWAY  
SUITE 300  
BOULDER, CO 80302

EXAMINER

HOGAN, JAMES SEAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3752

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/14/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/573,615

**Applicant(s)**

ROBERTSON III ET AL.

**Examiner**

JAMES S. HOGAN

**Art Unit**

3752

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/28/06.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 March 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/CIS-300)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/06 + 3/28

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 5, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,151,178 to Nickerson et al.

3. As per claim 1, Nickerson et al discloses (see Figure 3) an orifice member (212) defining a first surface, the orifice member having an inlet (at (214)) and an outlet (at (212)), a plunger (combined, (200) and (206)) that is movable relative to the orifice member; a first guide spring (202) situated between the orifice member and the plunger and having a portion attached to a first end of the plunger, the first guide spring defining a second surface, the second surface being sealable against the first surface to prevent fluid flow between the inlet and the outlet.

4. As per claim 5, Nickerson et al discloses a valve body (204) and the spring is determined to be a seam member, however, the embodiment in Figure 2 also discloses a seal member (114).

5. As per claim 8, Nickerson et al discloses the valve to be normally closed. (Col. 3, lines 32-34)

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 2, 3, 6, and 9, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,151,178 to Nickerson et al.

8. As per claims 2 and 3, Nickerson et al does not teach an attachment means for the plunger to the spring, but is shown (as per claim 3), attached to the plunger opposite the opening (at (212)). However, spot welding as an assembly procedure is notoriously well known in the art and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have spot welded the plunger to the spring in order to attach the two components together in a cost-effective manner known to be a timely procedure..

As per claim 6, Nickerson et al does not teach a material preference for the spring/seal member, however, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the spring/seal of nickel since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. See *in re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416

9. As per claim 9, Nickerson et al discloses the valve to be normally closed. (Col. 3, lines 32-34), Not normally open, however, as valves of both state are

notoriously well known in the art, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have valve normally open.

10. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,151,178 to Nickerson et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,635,683 to Neilson.

As per claims 4 and 10, Nickerson et al does not teach a second guide spring attached to the second end of the plunger. Neilson teaches a solenoid valve having a plunger (16) having flat guide springs ((36) and (32)) on both ends of the plunger. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Nickerson et al with a flat guide springs on the second end of the plunger as suggested by Neilson. Doing so would provide additional valve member responsiveness and because (a) the Nickerson et al reference and the Neilson reference are *known work in one of field of endeavor*, (b) such modification is merely the use of known technique to improve a similar device by Applicant and (c) such modification, i.e. choosing from a finite number of predictable solutions, is not of innovation but of ordinary skill and common sense. *KSR, International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*, 550 U.S. (2007).

### ***Conclusion***

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is as follows:

U.S. Patent No. 3,880,476 to Belart et al.

U.S. Patent No. 5,772,181 to Robertson, III

U.S. Patent No. 4,070,004 to Friswell

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES S. HOGAN whose telephone number is (571)272-4902. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:00a-4:00p EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. S. H./  
Examiner, Art Unit 3752

/Len Tran/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3752